

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/586,747	BURNETT ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Shengjun Wang	1617	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Shengjun Wang. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Caroline M. Nash. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 January 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*claim 2*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 2 submitted August 15, 2008 recites 'PLGA', Claim 2 in issued US patent recite "PLG" Applicants agree to change "PLGA" in claim 2 back to "PLG". Claims 7 and 11 will be amended by changing "compositions" to "composition" as suggested in the prior office action.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Shengjun Wang/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

